Delegated Officer Report -

App.No: 240386 (PPP)	Decision Due Date: 28 August 2024	Ward: Upperton
Officer: Emma Wachiuri	Site visit date:	Type: Planning Permission
Site Notice(s) Expiry date: 1 August 2024 Neighbour Con Expiry: 1 August 2024 Press Notice(s):		
Over 8/13 week reason:		
Location: South View, 40-42 Upperton Road, Eastbourne		
Proposal: Replacement of existing balconies to front elevation		
Applicant: Prideaux House Ltd		
Recommendation: Approve conditionally		

Planning Status:

Block of residential flats

Constraints:

Convenants Gilbert Estate

Source Protection Zones

Relevant Planning Policies

NPPF 2023

Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan 2006-2027 B1 Spatial Development Strategy and Distribution C2 Upperton Neighbourhood Policy D5 Housing D8 Sustainable Travel D10 Historic Environment -Archaeological Notification Area

Eastbourne Borough Plan 2001-2011 TR4 Quality Bus Corridors HO2 Predominantly Residential Areas NE14 Source Protection Zone

Site Description:

Application site:

The site comprises a 12-storey block of residential flats located to the north side of South View.

The building has balconies in the front elevation constructed of facing glass balustrade with white metal.

The site is neither located within a designated Conservation Area, nor is the building statutorily listed.

The surrounding streetscene is varied.

Relevant Planning History:

EB/1977/0227 PROV OF SUN BLIND OVER F/BALCONY ON 10TH/FL Approved Unconditional 1977-06-21 EB/1970/0482 PROV OF SUN BLIND OVER FRONT BALCONY ON 10TH/FL Approved Unconditional 1970-10-08 EB/1966/0172 ERECTION OF 11/ST BLOCK OF 44 FLATS & PENTHOUSE WITH 2 INTEG GARAGES & **BLOCK OF 23 GARAGES & 19 PARKING SPACES** Approved Conditional 1966-04-07 EB/1964/0404 ERECTION OF 11/ST BLOCK OF 42 FLATS TOG WITH BLOCK 23 GARAGES, 19 PARKING SPACES Approved Conditional 1964-08-13 EB/1963/0476 ERECTION OF 11/ST BLOCK OF 42 FLATS TOG WITH 23 GARAGES & PARKING FOR 22 CARS Approved Conditional 1963-12-02 EB/1962/0338 ERECTION OF 8/ST BLOCK OF 51 FLATS & A PENTHOUSE Refused 1962-08-09 EB/1959/0581 DEM & ERECTION OF A 5/ST BLOCK OF 20 FLATS WITH 2 PENTHOUSES, 12 GARAGES AT REAR Approved Conditional 1960-01-07 EB/1959/0212 4/ST BLOCK OF 20 FLATS & BLOCK OF 10 GARAGES WITH STORE Approved Conditional 1959-06-25 EB/1958/0379 DEM & ERECTION OF 4/ST BLOCK OF 8X2 BED FLATS & 6 GARAGES

Approved Conditional 1958-09-11 EB/1958/0302 A) CONV OF 2 S/C FLATS, B) ERECTION OF BUNG & GARAGE ON REAR MOST OF BACK GARDEN Approved Conditional 1958-07-24 EB/1950/0429 CONT OF USE AS PRIVATE GUEST HOUSE Approved Unconditional 1950-12-21 020356 Replacement of all windows in block. **Planning Permission** Approved unconditionally 23/09/2002 020358 Change existing window and door with PVCu. **Planning Permission** Approved unconditionally 16/09/2002 060036 Installation of replacement UPVC windows a nd doors. **Planning Permission** Approved unconditionally 27/02/2006 060391 Installation of UPVC French doors to balcony **Planning Permission** Approved unconditionally 14/08/2006 060725 Installation of replacement double glazed windows and doors in white UPV C **Planning Permission** Approved unconditionally 11/12/2006 120355 Retrospective application under section 73a for the installation of replacement windows. **Planning Permission** Approved unconditionally 03/10/2012 120650 Installation of replacement windows (F I at 34) **Planning Permission** Approved conditionally 04/12/2012 190037 Conversion of 2 existing flats into 4 x 1-bed flats, including alterations to 3rd floor fenestration

Planning Permission Approved conditionally 28/03/2019 960344 New PVCu windows in south east elevation. **Planning Permission** Approved unconditionally 22/08/1996 960437 Provision of 20 parking spaces on the site of a disused tennis court, involving the demolition of one existing garage to provide access. Planning Permission Withdrawn 2 7/02/1996 960518 Change Crittall windows to PVCu double glazed windows in keeping with the same pattern. Planning Permission Approved unconditionally 04/04/1996 970173 Change all windows and doors on east elevation from timber single-glazed to UPVC double glazed units (as already provided to central penthouse and on south elevation). **Planning Permission** Approved unconditionally 28/08/1997

Proposed development:

Replacement of existing balconies to front elevation

The applicant states that the existing set of balconies is suffering from structural deterioration hence the need for replacement.

Consultations:

Internal: None

External: Building Control Manager – No comment received

Neighbour Representations:

Public notification regarding the application has been undertaken in the following ways:

- Letters have been sent to all registered properties adjoining the application site;
- A site notice has been displayed in the vicinity of the application site;

Eight comments have been received following public notification regarding the application, which raise objection on the following concerns, but which are not material planning considerations that cannot be taken into account in determination of this application:

- 1. Construction related disturbances (Officer comment: These matters are covered by Environmental Health legislation)
- 2. Cost of repairs vs replacement
- 3. Costs to be borne by leaseholders
- 4. Damage to apartments
- 5. Failure to insure the building
- 6. Failure to maintain the building, resulting in the proposed works.

Appraisal:

Impact upon the amenity of neighbouring occupants

Relevant policy: Policy B2 (Creating Sustainable Development) of the Eastbourne Core Strategy 2013, saved policy HO20 (Residential Amenity) of the Eastbourne Borough Plan 2003 and para. 130 of the NPPF.

The proposal relates to the replacement of existing balconies on the exact position of the existing and therefore would not introduce any new impact on neighbour amenity in terms of loss of privacy, outlook or loss of daylight.

Design quality and impact upon the street scene and visual amenity of the area:

Relevant policy: Policy D10a (Design) of the Eastbourne Core Strategy 2013, saved policies UHT1 (Design of New Development) and UHT4 (Visual Amenity) of the Eastbourne Borough Plan 2003 and paragraph 135 of the NPPF

The existing balconies comprise of glass balustrade set on a painted white metal frame. The proposed materials and finishes are aluminum vertical bar balustrade with 15-degree inward slope handrail.

The proposed materials and design are considered acceptable in the streetscene.

Ecology and Biodiversity:

The Environment Act (2021) includes the provision to amend the Town and Country Planning Act (1990) in order to require at least 10% biodiversity net gain (BNG) for all new development to be delivered as a condition of a planning permission. However, some developments are exempt from BNG requirements.

The site's landscaping will be left largely unchanged, except for a 1.1 m wide stripe of soft landscaping directly in front of the building, which is proposed to be put to hard standing to move the existing driveway away from the structural elements of the new balconies to retain suitable access width and parking. To offset this the existing area of hard landscaping now between the central balconies in front of the ground floor units will be laid to soft landscape.

7no new raised planter beds are proposed at the base of the supporting columns. The raised beds are proposed to be planted with native flowering plants.

The area proposed to be put to hard standing is 24.88 m2 of managed lawn

The proposed development given it's nature and scale is not considered to decrease the biodiversity value of the site nor impact a priority habitat and is thus exempt from the Biodiversity net gain (BNG) rules.

Human Rights Implications:

The impacts of the proposal have been assessed as part of the application process. Consultation with the community has been undertaken and the impact on local people is set out above. The human

rights considerations have been taken into account fully in balancing the planning issues; and furthermore the proposals will not result in any breach of the Equalities Act 2010.

Conclusion:

Approve conditionally

Recommendation:

As discussed above the proposed development would not result in material harm to the character and appearance of the area or to neighbours' living conditions and there would be no harm in relation to highway safety.

The scheme therefore would represent sustainable development and would comply with the Local Plan as a whole and the guidance in the NPPF and is recommended for approval.

Appeal:

Should the applicant appeal the decision the appropriate course of action to be followed, taking into account the criteria set by the Planning Inspectorate, is considered to be **written representations**.